## Facing the future of the EGM Survey: a new test with online interviews

## Previous results

Previous test from 2006-2007 EGM OnLine.

We obtained only sociodemographic and media data (excluding TV).

Origin of the interviewees:
SSI Online panel (2.765)
"Navegantes en la red" (537)
Online study using banners to find respondents

## Objectives

The fundamental objective of this test is to continue deepening the utilization of the Internet as a tool that can be used to conduct surveys:

- Response rate.
- Use the e-mail adresses of the previous respondents to the EGM.
- Comparison of audience levels between the different methodologies.


## Questionaire

Due to the length of the questionaire, it is divided into 4 parts:
Sociodemographics.
Media A (Newspapers, Supplements, Magazines and Cinema)
Media B (Radio and Outdoor)
Media C (Television and Internet)

For each e-mail address, we assign, at random, one of the three media groups (A, B or C) to start the serie of interviews. At the end of the first questionaire, we attach the sociodemographic questions. This is the only time the sociodemographic questions will be asked.

## Sample

We started with 1.195 e-mail addresses:

EGM 3ax wave 2010: 797 addresses. (face to face interviews).
People who have responded to the other Internet survey: 398 addresses.

## Sample

We divided the sample into 7 groups with 170 addresses by group (one for each day of the week), and invited them to complete the survey between Friday 6 of May and Thursday 12 of May.

We send two reminders to each email address that hadn't responded in one or two weeks.

The second questionaire is usually sent between Monday 30 of May and Sunday 5 of June.

We send a reminder one week after that.

The third and last questionaire was sent between Wednesday 15 and Thursday 21 of june.

We send another reminder one week after sending the third questionaire.
The fieldwork ends Wednesday 29 of june

## Responses Rates

$A=$ Newsapapers+ Supplements+ Magazines+ Cinema $\quad B=$ Radio + Outdoor $\quad C=$ Televisión + Internet

| 10 PART | INTERNET SURVEY |  |  |  | EGM |  |  |  | TOTAL |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C | TOTAL | A | B | C | TOTAL | A | B | C | TOTAL |
| Send | 147 | 131 | 120 | 398 | 252 | 267 | 278 | 797 | 399 | 398 | 398 | 1195 |
| Received | 83 | 65 | 70 | 218 | 22 | 20 | 16 | 58 | 105 | 85 | 86 | 276 |
| RESPONSES RATES | 56,5\% | $49,6 \%$ | 58,3\% | 54,8\% | 8,7\% | 7,5\% | 5,8\% | 7,3\% | 26,3\% | 21,4\% | 21,6\% | 23,1\% |
|  |  |  | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 PART | INTERNET SURVEY |  |  |  | EGM |  |  |  | TOTAL |  |  |  |
|  | A | B | C | TOTAL | A | B | C | TOTAL | A | B | C | TOTAL |
| Send | 70 | 83 | 65 | 218 | 16 | 22 | 20 | 58 | 86 | 105 | 85 | 276 |
| Received | 59 | 52 | 49 | 160 | 9 | 15 | 13 | 37 | 68 | 67 | 62 | 197 |
| RESPONSES RATES | 84,3\% | 62,7\% | 75,4\% | 73,4\% | 56,3\% | 68,2\% | 65,0\% | 63,8\% | 79,1\% | 63,8\% | 72,9\% | 71,4\% |
| RR (Over the initial send) | INTERNET SURVEY $\mathbf{4 0 , 2 \%}$ |  |  |  | EGM 4,6\% |  |  |  | 16,5\% |  |  |  |
| 3a PART | INTERNET SURVEY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL |  |  |  |
|  | A | B | C | TOTAL | A | B | C | TOTAL | A | B | C | TOTAL |
| Send | 49 | 59 | 52 | 160 | 13 | 9 | 15 | 37 | 62 | 68 | 67 | 197 |
| Received | 46 | 46 | 46 | 138 | 13 | 6 | 14 | 33 | 59 | 52 | 60 | 171 |
| RESPONSES RATES | 93,9\% | 78,0\% | 88,5\% | 86,3\% | 100,0\% | 66,7\% | 93,3\% | 89,2\% | 95,2\% | 76,5\% | 89,6\% | 86,8\% |
| Total RR |  |  |  | 34,7\% |  |  |  | 4,1\% |  |  |  | 14,3\% |

## Responses Rates



## Results

Newspapers (Internet users in the last 30 days)
Test vs EGM $\mathbf{2}^{\text {a }} 2011$

| Yesterday |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  |  |  |
|  | Readers | Readings | Readers | Readings |
| Total Newspapers | 142 | 187 | 128 | 177 |
| .General Information | 157 | 212 | 131 | 177 |
| .. Paid | 150 | 195 | 123 | 145 |
| .. Free | 218 | 260 | 202 | 237 |
| .Sports | 121 | 121 | 149 | 165 |
| .Economics | 582 | 502 | 260 | 343 |
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## Results

Supplements (Internet users in the last 30 days)
Test vs EGM $2^{\text {a }} 2011$

Last week

|  | 2006 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Readers | Readings | Readers | Readings |
| Supplements | 172 | 205 | 128 | 149 |

## Results

Magazines (Internet uses in the last 30 days)
Test vs EGM $2^{\text {a }} 2011$
Last period

|  |  | 2006 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Readers | Readings | Readers | Readings |
| Total Magazines | 140 | 176 | 131 | 227 |
| .Weekly | 147 | 158 | 203 | 236 |
| .Biweekly | 276 | 344 | 193 | 191 |
| .Monthly | 130 | 179 | 138 | 226 |
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## Results

Radio (Internet users in the last 30 days)
Test vs EGM $2^{\text {a }} 2011$
Yesterday

|  | 2006 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Listeners | Listeners |
| Total Radio | 149 | 109 |
| .Conventional | 187 | 101 |
| .Thematic | 144 | 116 |

## Results

Television (Internet users in the last 30 days)
Test vs EGM 2²011

Yesterday

| Television | 106 |
| :--- | :--- |

Outdoors (Internet users in the last 30 days)
Test vs EGM 2 ${ }^{\text {a }} 2011$
Yesterday

|  |  | 2006 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Outdoor | 142 | 128 |

## Results

Cinema (Internet users in the last 30 days)
Test vs EGM 2a 2011

Last week


Internet (Internet users in the last 30 days)
Test vs EGM 2 ${ }^{\text {a }} 2011$

Yesterday

|  |  | 2006 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Internet | 124 | 137 |

## Results

The profile of the final sample in the test is different from the profile of the EGM sample:

- $93 \%$ of the interviewees are middle class in comparison with 65\% of the internet users in EGM.
- 68\% have a University degree and only 24\% in EGM.
- Finally, 61\% claim to be working while only $56 \%$ of EGM respondents.
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Conclusions

- The interviewees from EGM present a lower response rate when recontacted by e-mail. $7.3 \%$ responded to the first part of the test, and only 4.1\% completed all three parts.
- The audience figures in the test are much higher in comparison to traditional EGM results, ranging from 6\% on television to $\mathbf{7 2 \%}$ on the supplements.
- One posible explanation for the high level of media consumption is the profile of the respondents from both surveys. Respondents of a higher social class and with a higher level of education are over represented in the sample of the online survey.


## Next steps

- The test will need to be executed a third time using a different source of interviewees. For example, individuals recruited by phone to complete this survey.

Finally the end

## THANKS FOR YOUR ATTENTION!

